

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
	09/534,1	96 03/24,	/00 DUJARRIC	c	058472	
Γ		,	·		EXAMINER	
	SUGHRUE I	@M02/0724 SUGHRUE MION ZINN MACPEAK & SEAS PLLC			KOCZO JR.M	
	ROBERT J		The second second second second	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	2100 PEN	2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE N W		<u> </u>	7	
	WASHINGT	ON DC 20031	7-3202	374	6 /	
		,		DATE MAILED:	1	
			·		07/24/01	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

•							
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary	09/534,196	DUJARRIC, CHRISTIAN FRANCOIS MICHEL					
·	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Michael Koczo, Jr.	3746					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16.	July 2001 .						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b)	is action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>8-13</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claims are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to	to by the Examiner.						
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).							
·							
Attachment(s)							
15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3 18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 20) Other:							



Application/Control Number: 09/534,196

Art Unit: 3746

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's election of the species of figure 1 is acknowledged. Claims 8 to 13 stand withdrawn from further consideration as being drawn to a nonelected species.

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the structure of claims 4 and 7 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Specification

The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: there is no basis in the specification for "separation triggering elements".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1 to 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, it is not understood what is meant by "wherein said control system exhibits a plurality of separation triggering elements" (emphasis added). Applicant may consider using the word --comprises-- instead.

In claim 6, line 2, there is no antecedent basis for "said injection cross section". In line 3, there is no antecedent basis for "the throat". This claim is furthermore indefinite because a



Art Unit: 3746

throat does not establish a reference point from which to measure a distance. Also, the point at which flow separation occurs is a function of many structural and operational parameters, which parameters are beyond the scope of the claim. Therefore the distance Do is not clearly defined which renders the claim as indefinite.

Claims 1 to 7 are furthermore functional and operational in form. For example, in claim 1, "arranged in such a way..." merely recites a desired result.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 to 6, as understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being structurally anticipated by Wilhite.

Claims 1 and 7, as understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being structurally anticipated by either of Mueller or Kranz et al.

Application/Control Number: 09/534,196

Art Unit: 3746

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Michael Koczo, Jr Primary Examiner

Group Art Unit 3746

M. Koczo, Jr./mnk July 20, 2001 TEL 703-308-2630 FAX 703-308-7763